	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/695,535	SINGHATAT ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thomas J. Sweet	3738	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>29 August 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the folloplaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a N (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compfollowing time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in bliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	ffidavit, or other evid compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	er at materialism and distance	:
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened st above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extensite final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37) as set forth in (b)
2 The Notice of Appeal was filed on . A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	e filed within two mor	nths of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any of Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal	of the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection	but prior to the date of filing a brie	of will not be entered	because
(a) They raise new issues that would require further or (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	onsideration and/or search (see NC	OTE below);	
(c) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE ber (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in beautiful appeal; and/or	etter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying	g the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	a corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.	116 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendmen	it (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be the non-allowable claim(s).			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pr The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:)	vill be entered and ar	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	•		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, I because applicant failed to provide a showing of good a and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	nd sufficient reasons why the affida	avit or other evidence	is necessary
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appears only and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant f See 37 CFR 41.33(d)	ails to provide a)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanating REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	icnea.

CORRINE MCDERMOTT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700

13. Other: ____.

See Continuation Sheet.

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/88) Paper No(s).

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The addition of the term "fixedly" does not define over the prior art rejection since "fixedly" can be defined as merely fastened or attached based on that definition from the RANDOM HOUSE COLLEGE DICTIONARY copyright 1980.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The arguments are not persuasive, since the rejected claims do not positively claim a ligament let alone defining a ligament as a non-solid, compressible material and the prior rejection spelled out how Glascott reads on the claims.